

## Metalogic: Homework 4

Email to me by Noon, Tuesday, March 17, 2009  
Kent Johnson

Please answer four of the following questions (providing proofs for all your answers, of course).

- **Students enrolled in 105B:** Include question 5 amongst your four; I will place substantial weight on the clarity and rigor with which you construct your inductive argument.
  - **Students enrolled in 205B:** Please work on this homework individually, and do not discuss it with anyone until it has been turned in.
1. We say that  $\text{Th}(\Gamma)$  is  $\lambda$ -categorical iff all structures whose domain is of size  $\lambda$  that satisfy  $\text{Th}(\Gamma)$  are isomorphic. We also say that  $\text{Th}(\Gamma)$  is *complete* iff for all formulas  $\phi$ ,  $\text{Th}(\Gamma)$  contains either  $\phi$  or its negation. (a) Show that if  $\text{Th}(\Gamma)$  is  $\lambda$ -categorical, then it is complete. (b) Use (a) to show that the theory of dense linear orderings without endpoints (in a language whose only nonlogical symbol corresponds to  $<$ ) is complete.
    - Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a language with only finitely many nonlogical symbols. Show that the number of nonisomorphic  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures with finite domains is  $\omega$ . [*Done in class*]
    - If the term  $t$  is substitutable for  $x$  in  $\phi$ , then
 
$$\models \phi_x^t[s] \text{ iff } \mathcal{A} \models \phi [s(x|\bar{s}(t))].$$
 [*Done in class*]
  2. Let  $\phi$  be the sentence  $\forall x \sim Rxx \wedge \forall x \forall y \forall z (Rxy \supset (Ryz \supset Rxz)) \wedge \forall x \exists y Rxy$ . Show that if  $\mathcal{A} \models \phi$ , then  $|\mathcal{A}|$  is infinite in size
  3. Consider the following structures for a language  $\mathcal{L}$ 
    - $\mathcal{N} = \langle \omega, g_{\mathcal{N}} \rangle$ , where  $P^{2\mathcal{N}} = \{ \langle x, y \rangle : x < y \}$ , and  $f^{\mathcal{N}} = \{ \langle x, y \rangle : y = x+1 \}$ , and  $c^{\mathcal{N}} = 0$ ;

- $\mathcal{Z} = \langle \mathbb{Z}, g_{\mathcal{Z}} \rangle$  where  $P^{2\mathcal{Z}} = \{ \langle x, y \rangle : x < y \}$ , and  $f^{\mathcal{Z}} = \{ \langle x, y \rangle : y = x+1 \}$ , and  $c^{\mathcal{Z}} = 0$ ; ( $\mathbb{Z}$  is the set of integers:  $\{ \dots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \}$ .)
- $\mathcal{Q} = \langle \mathbb{Q}, g_{\mathcal{Q}} \rangle$  where  $P^{2\mathcal{Q}} = \{ \langle x, y \rangle : x < y \}$ , and  $f^{\mathcal{Q}} = \{ \langle x, y \rangle : y = x+1 \}$ , and  $c^{\mathcal{Q}} = 0$ ; ( $\mathbb{Q}$  is the set of rational numbers:  $\{ \frac{m}{n} : m, n \in \mathbb{Z} (n \neq 0) \}$ .)

Prove or disprove: For each subset of  $\{ \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Z} \}$ , there is a sentence that is true in the structures in that subset and not true in the remaining structures in  $\{ \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Z} \}$ .

4. Prove or disprove: For any set of formulas  $\Gamma$  there is a formula  $\phi$  such that for any structure  $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$\mathcal{A} \models \Gamma \text{ iff } \mathcal{A} \models \phi$$

*Hint:* (There are many ways to solve this! You may appeal, without proof, to the statement in the following problem.)

5. Prove *by induction* the following claim: If  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  differ at most in the interpretations they assign to constants, functions and predicates that do *not* occur in  $\phi$ , then  $\mathcal{A} \models \phi [s]$  iff  $\mathcal{B} \models \phi [s]$ .
6. Show that for any structure  $\mathcal{A}$ , the number of variable assignment functions  $s$  that  $\mathcal{A}$  can have can be 1 or  $2^{\omega}$ , but not  $\omega$ . Can this number exceed  $2^{\omega}$  for some structure  $\mathcal{A}$ ?
7. Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the standard model of the rational numbers in a language with expressions that intuitively correspond to 0, <, +, and  $\times$ . Show that there exists a structure  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\{ \phi : \mathcal{A} \models \phi \} = \{ \phi : \mathcal{Q} \models \phi \}$ , but where  $\mathcal{A}$  contains “infinitesimals”, i.e. positive numbers that are smaller than any positive rational number.
- [*Hint:* For one half, add a countable infinitude of constants to the language and then work with the set:  $\{ \phi : \mathcal{Q} \models \phi \} \cup \{ c < c_q : q \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \} \cup \{ 0 < c \}$ . Use compactness, then discuss adding an infinitesimal to a rational number.]

8. Let  $\text{Mod}(\Gamma)$  be the class of structures  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \models \Gamma$ . For a class  $B$  of structures, let  $\text{Th}(B)$  be the set of formulas  $\phi$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \models \phi$  for all  $\mathcal{A} \in B$ . Now let  $\Gamma, \Delta$  be sets of formulas, and  $B, C$  be classes of structures Show that:
- (a) If  $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta$ , then  $\text{Mod}(\Delta) \subseteq \text{Mod}(\Gamma)$
  - (b) If  $B \subseteq C$ , then  $\text{Th}(C) \subseteq \text{Th}(B)$
  - (c)  $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Th}(\text{Mod}(\Gamma))$
  - (d)  $B \subseteq \text{Mod}(\text{Th}(B))$

*Extra:* Go on to prove:

- (e)  $\text{Mod}(\Gamma) = \text{Mod}(\text{Th}(\text{Mod}(\Gamma)))$
- (f)  $\text{Th}(B) = \text{Th}(\text{Mod}(\text{Th}(B)))$